



Rufus - Bill & Ted's Excellent Adventure

EXCELLENT







LENNY BRUCE IS NOT AFRAID

- Actually existed prior to REM's lyrics
- An amazing comic; father of modern-day stand-up
- Was arrested during one of his performances for saying nine so-called "dirty words"
- He was the Mike Rothman of Comedy...



 7 of Bruce's 9 original Dirty Words became...

 …Carlin's "7 Words You Can **Never Say On Television**"

 ...which became "Filthy Words" that setup a battle with the FCC that went to the **Supreme Court**

Carlin was also arrested for * saying these words during a live performance

THE 7 DIRTY WORDS

1. Scalability 2. Portability 3. Fungibility 4. Compliance 5. Cost 6. Manageability 7. Trust

... Of Cloud Security

WHY WE'RE AFRAID OF THE 7 DIRTY WORDS

- Every computing paradigm transition and disruption has instilled fear in incumbents and those that utilize their solutions
- Lack of mature tools, organizational shift of operational ownership, subverted control, lack of visibility, audit pain, highly dynamic environments...don't help
- Differences in delivery and deployment models means it's a multi-dimensional problem
- The velocity of disruption is unequivocally disturbing...we can't absorb change quickly

Who you are matters greatly to how sensitive you are to these Dirty Words - Service Providers vs. Consumers vs. Auditors...

SOME PERSPECTIVE

- Enterprises own two of everything, some have mainframes and call them clouds... (Thank you, Mr. Reilly)
- "Pure" cloud (heh) is a reasonably recent phenomenon as are the stacks that power them and they are rapidly evolving
- The Public vs. Private cloud debacle highlights the "security problem"
- Let's see why...

SCALABINY*

Distributed Networked System problems are tough

"Traditional" security doesn't scale across distributed architecture

Metcalfe vs. Moore's Law vs. HD Moore's Law (Thanks, Josh Corman!)

Good enough vs. Best of breed

*Implies Performance

PORTABLIT

- If we don't have consistency in standards/formats for workloads & stack insertion, we're not going to have consistency in security
- Inconsistent policies and network topologies make security service, topology & device-specific
- Abstraction has become a distraction
- Lack of consistent telemetry
- You cannot expect physical-appliance based security alone
 & virtual solutions are immature

FUNCTED

- What's the difference between a firewall, a router and a switch from the perspective of the cloud?
- Where/What is the network?
- Hardware empowered by software or vice versa?
- Single function vs. programmable and extensible?
- UTM isn't fungibility, it's feature creep & the security equivalent of marrying your sister (See: Scalability)

COMPLIANCE

- Security != Compliance and "security" doesn't matter
- **...Budget Does : Follow the money**
- Compliance doesn't fool anyone, especially security people...but we'll gladly flaunt it when we get audited
- Regulatory compliance and frameworks don't address emerging/disruptive innovation quickly enough

Lack of automation for gathering audit/compliance artifacts

Built-in or bolted on? Either way, it ain't free, or when it is, you get what you pay for and when it's not, you often don't

It's a squeezing the balloon problem depending on where the stack focus is

Device or service centric - costs shift, but management and quality/stability cost you in the long run

Operational experience and expertise is expensive

MANAGEABLIN

- This is the single worst dirty word of them all
- Security is everywhere, consistent management isn't
- Device centric vs application/service vs information centric security
- Managed by different tools, different people across discipline slices
- Differences in Deployment & Delivery Models

Here's what security management looks like in the Cloud*:

POST /api/1.0/vshield/host-5450 HTTP/1.1 Content-type: application/xml; charset=UTF-8 Authorization: Basic YWRtaW46ZGVmYXVsdA== Cache-Control: no-cache Pragma: no-cache Host: 10.112.196.244 Accept: text/html, image/gif, image/jpeg, *; q=.2, */*; q=.2 Connection: keep-alive Content-Length: 489

<VshieldConfiguration><VszInstallParams><DatastoreId>datastore-5035</DatastoreId>

<ManagementPortSwitchId>network-4485</ManagementPortSwitchId><MgmtInterface> <IpAddress>10.112.196.245</IpAddress><NetworkMask>255.255.252.0</NetworkMask> <DefaultGw>10.112.199.253</DefaultGw></MgmtInterface></VszInstallParams> <PortgroupIsolationInstallParams><DatastoreId>datastore-5035</DatastoreId> </PortgroupIsolationInstallParams><EpsecInstallParams>true</EpsecInstallParams> <InstallAction>install</InstallAction></VshieldConfiguration>

Trust models in computing are horribly warped

Adding more abstraction makes the security problem more obtuse

...so, we don't "trust" the cloud

We didn't "trust" client/server or the Internet, either

We don't have a consistent way to measure and compare trust levels, so we hope instead

Solve The Problems

DON'T BE SILENCED

SPEAK THE WORD

- 1. Scalability
- 2. Portability
- 3. Fungibility
- 4. Compliance
- 5. Cost
- 6. Manageability
- 7. Trust

- Distributed architectures stretch the network, your security needs to respond in kind
 - Host/guest, App, information, physical/ logical network, hypervisor and platform-centric architecture is critical
- Service insertion/chaining or both "native" and external security services are required
- Automate!

•

- 1. Scalability
- 2. Portability
- 3. Fungibility
- 4. Compliance
- 5. Cost
- 6. Manageability
- 7. Trust

- IaaS: most portable security capabilities are those in the guests of VMs or the VMs themselves [See: Scalability] assuming you don't build it into the app layer
- PaaS: nteresting equalizer given where, who and how security is applied; AppSec is highly important
- SaaS: highly proprietary security-wise and you don't control it; leverage (id)entity and "federation"
- We need a common way of describing security requirements at the container, application and information level
- Realize you're going to end up with a hybrid model

- 1. Scalability
- 2. Portability
- 3. Fungibility
- 4. Compliance
- 5. Cost
- 6. Manageability
- 7. Trust

- Automation leverages programmability, standardization of interfaces & re-use so choose solutions that enable/are enabled by it
- Learn to script/code and use platforms/tools that can be leveraged across your stacks and your providers'
- Look for software-driven solutions that interoperate with hardware and have SDK's/ API's as part of the platform
- Security functions should be API driven and abstracted to allow for interchangeable components

- 1. Scalability
- 2. Portability
- 3. Fungibility
- 4. Compliance
- 5. Cost
- 6. Manageability
- 7. Trust

- Leverage a consistent and cross-matrixed set of control objectives normalized across compliance frameworks (CSA CCM)
- Leverage automation (CloudAudit/STAR/CAI/ CTP) to scale audit data gathering & presentation
- Check out ENISA Risk Assessment, CSA materials & CAMM
- Integrate vulnerability, threat, topology, control configuration and risk-assessment derived BIA on assets to manage from different PoVs

•

- 1. Scalability
- 2. Portability
- 3. Fungibility
- 4. Compliance
- 5. Cost
- 6. Manageability
- 7. Trust

- Recognize that as we adapt, cloud & virtualization is initially squeezing of the cost balloon
- CapEx vs OpEx seems to forget the 'Op' part can cost you more without displacing MeatClouds with automation
- If you have limited visibility and transparency due to platform constraints, focus back on the basics of telemetry, instrumentation and monitoring
 - If you've got the money, honey, I've got the time

- 1. Scalability
- 2. Portability
- 3. Fungibility
- 4. Compliance
- 5. Cost
- 6. Manageability
- 7. Trust

- Still the elephant in the room and moose on the table...
- Fractured approach to management yields an unmanageable mess; consolidate, automate and instrument yourself or via a third party
 - APIs are your friend
 - Get used to crafting your own tools/ interfaces (again)
- Delivery and deployment models matter

•

- 1. Scalability
- 2. Portability
- 3. Fungibility
- 4. Compliance
- 5. Cost
- 6. Manageability
- 7. Trust

- Trust is about hedging your bets (which is about managing exposure.) Not sure most have the precision to manage "risk"
- Ultimately how you measure risk determines who/how/why you trust Consistency in approach to measurement and audit
- Standardize on a methodology to link a rationalized "score" to exposure across your assets and your providers'
- Drive/demand better transparency & visibility from providers

1. Scalability 2. Portability 3. Fungibility 4. Compliance 5. Cost 6. Manageability 7. Trust

1. Some 2. People 3. Forget 4. Cloud 5. Concerns 6. More (than) 7. Technology...

ANOTHER 7 WORDS.

- There's so much potential for awesomesauce with respect to Cloud and security...
- Cloud, virtualization and automation; you can do things you can't do otherwise <u>and</u> you can also get pwn3d in wonderfully inventive ways! Balance & common sense, FTW!
- If you approach Cloud security like it's 1991 and you think VLANs are still evil, you're responsible for the death of 11 kittens. You should feed badly.

[Christofer] Hoff choff@packetfilter.com choff@juniper.net @beaker +1.978.631.0302

VANDOR

Other Presentations In The Series...

• £1 "

